

For Office Use only:

Date	
Ref	

Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

Publication Draft - Representation Form

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	Mr	
First Name	[REDACTED]	
Last Name	Jackson	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Line 2	[REDACTED]	
Line 3	Ilkley	
Line 4		
Post Code	LS29 [REDACTED]	
Telephone Number	[REDACTED]	
Email Address	[REDACTED]	
Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date: 30.03.14

Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district. Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	5.3	Paragraph	64	Policy	H03
---------	-----	-----------	----	--------	-----

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes	X	No	
4 (2). Sound	Yes		No	X
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	X	No	

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Wharfedale, and in particular Ilkley, is a commuter area. New housing will put pressure on the transport infrastructure as individuals will be commuting into the key employment areas of West and North Yorkshire such as Leeds, Bradford and Harrogate. The geographical topography of the valleys, means that transport is restricted to clear corridors, such as the A65 into Leeds and the A650/A6038 into Bradford.

These routes are heavily congested at most times of the day, in particular the bottle necks of Horsforth and Shipley.

Despite recent measures, such as controlling the flow of traffic into Ilkley by means of traffic signals on the A65, the centre of Ilkley has heavy traffic congestion.

The Local Infrastructure Plan from October 2013 does not propose further investment in these key road routes and although it recognises that investment in new rolling stock is required for the rail network, no funding is foreseeable.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Greater use of the brown field sites in Bradford to encourage developments that are attractive to home buyers. This will encourage the further development of the Bradford City centre and make it more attractive for further investment. The number of houses planned for Ilkley could then be reduced to a more manageable 300.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature: Date: 30.3.14

Core Strategy Development Plan Document (DPD) : Publication Draft

PART C: EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY MONITORING FORM

